

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**M.A. No.258 of 2015
In
Original Application No. 10 of 2014**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Pavit Singh Vs. The State of NCT of Delhi & Ors.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CAHTTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER**

**Present: Appellant: Mr. Anunaya Mehta, Advs.
Respondent No. 1, 2, 4, & 6 Mr. V. K. Tandon, Adv.**

	Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p>Item No. 08</p> <p>July 28, 2016</p>	<p>Heard. Perused.</p> <p>The Status Report dated 20-05-2016 only seeks condonation of delay occasioned in construction of boundary wall on account of numerous reasons stated therein.</p> <p>It is revealed that the boundary of the Ridge Forest land will be barricaded after completing the barricading of the land at Khasra No. 687/570. The work of barricading, generally it is stated, is meeting with the frequent interruptions from anti-social elements and initiative are being taken for demolition of illegal constructions standing on the forest lands.</p> <p>This fact is contradicted by the applicant and he submits that no steps for demolition of the illegal structures have been taken by the DTF headed by the District Magistrate, South District.</p> <p>We have no feed beck from the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the District Magistrate.</p> <p>Consequently, we issueailable warrant against the District Magistrate, South District to remain present</p>

before the Tribunal in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- to the satisfaction of the arresting Officer, in exercise of our powers and in terms of the provision of Section 19 (4) (a) of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 read with Order XVI Rule 10(3) and Section 151 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. Bailable warrant is to be executed through the Station House Officer, concerned Police Station with the instructions to furnish the facts and figures as to what he has done for removal of the encroachments lying in the forest area. We also issue notice to District Magistrate of the coercive action which may follow in terms of the Order XXI Rule 32 of CPC, 1908, in the event of inaction being detected.

List the matter on 18th August, 2016.

.....,JM
(U.D. Salvi)

.....,EM
(Ranjan Chatterjee)

